INTI

eISSN 2830-795X

Technology Optimization for Equitable Access to Education and Health Services in Poverty Alleviation

Muhammad Khairi

Universiti Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia

Email: muhammad.khairi5@gmail.com

ABSTRACT – Technology has become a key instrument for improving access to education and health services for the poor. Innovations in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have opened up new opportunities to overcome geographical and economic barriers that have been major obstacles to obtaining essential services. Online learning platforms enable students from different economic backgrounds to access quality education without location restrictions. Telemedicine and digital health applications have made it easier for the poor to obtain medical services without having to face distance and transportation costs. The effectiveness of technology in poverty alleviation is highly dependent on the readiness of infrastructure, the level of digital literacy, and regulations that support the inclusiveness of technology access. The main challenges faced include limited internet networks in remote areas, digital literacy gaps, and high technology adoption costs for low-income communities. A comprehensive policy strategy is needed, including the development of digital infrastructure, improving technological literacy, and economic incentives for inclusive and sustainable technological innovation. Collaboration between the government, private sector, and non-governmental organizations is a key factor to ensure that technology can be implemented equitably. Optimal utilization of technology can contribute to poverty alleviation, improving quality of life, and achieving sustainable development goals.

Keywords: Technology, Education, Healthcare, Poor people, Digital literacy, Inclusive policy, Sustainable development.

A. INTRODUCTION

Poverty is still a global problem that hampers economic development and people's welfare. Based on the World Bank report (2021), around 9.2% of the world's population or around 689 million people live in extreme poverty with an income of less than USD 1.90 per day. Poverty is not only an economic problem but also has an impact on limited access to education, health services, and decent work opportunities (World Bank, 2021). In today's digital era, technology has proven to play an important role in various aspects of life, including in poverty alleviation efforts. By utilizing digital innovation, many countries have developed technology-based solutions to improve access to education and health services for the poor, which in turn can improve their quality of life (Arifin & Darmawan, 2021).

One clear example of the role of technology in poverty alleviation is the implementation of online learning and Education Technology (EdTech). In many developing countries, platforms such as Khan Academy, Coursera, and Ruangguru have helped millions of students gain access to quality education without having to physically attend school (Tam & El-Azar, 2018). Studies conducted by UNESCO (2020) show that 60% of the population in developing countries have difficulty accessing formal education due to limited infrastructure and costs. With EdTech, education becomes more inclusive and affordable for low-income communities, which in turn can improve their social and economic mobility.

Apart from education, technology has also transformed access to healthcare for the poor. The use of telemedicine and AI-based health applications has enabled people in remote areas to get healthcare services without having to travel to distant medical facilities (Budd et al., 2020). For example, telemedicine programs implemented in India through apps such as Practo and mHealth have helped more than 10 million patients access healthcare using only mobile devices (Patel et al., 2019). Research shows that the use of digital health technologies can reduce inequalities in healthcare access and improve the health outcomes of the poor (Torous et al., 2019).

One of the main challenges in using technology for poverty alleviation is the gap in access to digital technology. Around 37% of the world's population, or around 2.9 billion people, still do not have stable internet access. The majority of them come from developing countries that have limited telecommunications infrastructure and high internet access costs. This inequality prevents the poor from benefiting from digital innovations in education and healthcare (James, 2020).

Limited access and lack of digital literacy are also serious obstacles in the utilization of technology for the poor. Research by Van Dijk and Hacker (2018) shows that people with low education levels tend to have difficulty operating digital devices and understanding information obtained through online platforms. As a result, despite the existence of various technology-based applications and services to improve welfare, the poor are often unable to utilize them optimally (Zhao et al., 2019).

Data security and privacy are also challenges in the implementation of technology for poverty alleviation. Many digital-based services, especially in education and health, require access to users' personal data. Research by Smith et al. (2021) shows that the poor are more vulnerable to data exploitation and misuse of personal information due to a low understanding of cybersecurity. Data protection regulations in some developing countries are still not optimal to protect users from the threat of data theft and online fraud (Gonzalez et al., 2020).

While technology has great potential in poverty alleviation, the cost of implementing and developing technology infrastructure is a major obstacle. According to a World Bank report (2019), developing countries need to invest more than USD 100 billion to build digital infrastructure networks capable of reaching the poor. Many technology programs funded by international organizations still face challenges in financial sustainability, causing many initiatives to fail in the long run (Pfeiffer et al., 2019).

Another challenge faced in using technology for poverty alleviation is the lack of policies that support the application of digital innovations for vulnerable groups. Some countries still have regulations that hinder technological development, such as high tariffs for internet services and lack of incentives for technology companies that want to invest in remote areas (Heeks, 2020). Without supportive policies, the use of technology to improve the welfare of the poor is difficult to implement effectively and sustainably (Mansell, 2017).

The development of digital technology has accelerated significantly in recent years, especially due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic which has forced many sectors to adapt to technology-based solutions (Bai et al., 2020). Digital transformation has become a crucial element to provide access to education and health services for the poor. For example, research by Benda et al. (2021) shows that during the pandemic, online learning platforms saw a 400% increase in users in developing countries, indicating a growing reliance on technology in the education sector. Telemedicine services are rapidly growing as a solution to limited access to healthcare for the poor, especially in remote areas (Marcolino et al., 2018).

Technology is not just a tool, but also a key factor in improving the social and economic mobility of the poor. Access to technology provides wider opportunities for individuals to connect with information, education and job markets that were previously hard to reach. A study by Hilbert (2018) shows that individuals with access to digital technology have a greater chance of improving their lives compared to those without such access. Technology can open up new economic opportunities, such as digital-based jobs, online entrepreneurship, and technology-based skills enhancement (Qureshi, 2020). Research by De' et al. (2020) highlighted that technology-based innovations can reduce economic disparities between regions by accelerating access to basic services, improving digital literacy, and opening up new economic opportunities for vulnerable groups. Equitable digital infrastructure allows people in remote areas to obtain services that were previously only available in urban centers.

The urgency to observe the use of technology in poverty alleviation is also related to the sustainable development agenda. The United Nations (UN) organization in its Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) asserts that technology has a fundamental role to play in achieving global development targets, including eliminating poverty, increasing access to education, and improving health services (United Nations, 2019). Research by Donner et al. (2021) shows that countries that successfully integrate technology into their social and economic policies experience a significant increase in the Human Development Index (HDI) and a decrease in poverty in the long run. Without serious attention to the utilization of technology in poverty alleviation strategies, developing countries will fall further behind in achieving sustainable development targets.

This study aims to analyze the role of technology to improve access to education and health services for the poor. With the development of digital innovation, the utilization of technology is expected to overcome the barriers that have limited vulnerable groups from obtaining quality education and adequate health services. This research also seeks to identify key barriers to the utilization of technology for poverty alleviation, including infrastructure constraints, implementation costs, and low digital literacy among the poor. By understanding the factors that hinder the effectiveness of technology to support community welfare, this research can provide insights into the challenges that need to be overcome in the implementation of technology-based solutions.

This research aims to explore how policies and regulations can play a role in supporting equitable access to technology for the poor. The right policies can be key to ensuring that technological innovations can be utilized in an inclusive and sustainable manner. The results of this study are expected to provide recommendations for the government, private sector, and non-governmental organizations to design policies that support the effective application of technology as a tool for poverty alleviation.

B. METHOD

This research uses a literature study approach to analyze the role of technology in poverty alleviation, particularly to improve access to education and health services for the poor. Literature review is a systematic method for reviewing and evaluating previous research relevant to the topic under study (Bryman, 2016). This approach allows researchers to collect and analyze various theories, concepts, and empirical findings from reputable academic sources to gain an understanding of the relationship between technology and poverty alleviation (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

To conduct the literature study, this research adopted the stages suggested by Fink (2019), which included identification, selection, analysis and synthesis of information sources. Identification was done by searching scientific journals, academic books, and reports of international organizations that discuss technological innovation in education and health services for the poor. Source selection criteria included publications from reputable journals, internationally recognized research methods books, and highly cited articles in related fields of study (Hart, 2018). The analysis was conducted by evaluating the content of the selected literature to identify key trends, research gaps, and challenges and opportunities in the use of technology for poverty alleviation.

The literature synthesis in this study used a thematic approach as developed by Booth, Sutton and Papaioannou (2016), in which the various research results that had been collected were grouped based on the main themes that emerged from the literature review. This approach allows for a systematic mapping of the contribution of technology in the education and health sectors, as well as uncovering the challenges faced in its implementation. In this way, the research can build a strong argument based on the empirical evidence reviewed previously (Ridley, 2012).

Reliability and validity in the literature study is ensured by the use of source triangulation methods, where multiple perspectives from different literatures are compared to gain a more objective and comprehensive understanding (Silverman, 2020). By drawing on various literature analysis approaches that have proven effective in academic research, this study seeks to provide insights that can be used to understand the role of technology in poverty alleviation and provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and practitioners in the field of social and economic development.

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Role of Technology to Improve Access to Education and Health Services for the Poor

Technology has an important role to play in improving equitable access to education and health services for the poor. Innovations in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have opened up new opportunities to overcome barriers that previously limited access to these essential services. Technology is also making it easier to integrate data and reporting in the education and health sectors, which in turn helps make policies more targeted. This understanding also enables policy makers, academics, and the general public to design more effective solutions for poverty alleviation through technology-based approaches. Technology-based approaches enable solutions that are scalable, efficient, and can reach a wide population with limited resources. Digital literacy and infrastructure support are key to the successful implementation of this solution in the field.

In the education sector, the application of digital technology has enabled more flexible and affordable distance learning. E-learning platforms allow students from various economic backgrounds to access learning materials without geographical restrictions. This is in line with findings showing that education technology can improve learning quality and accessibility for all. Technology enables personalization of learning, where materials can be tailored to individual needs and abilities, thus increasing the effectiveness of the teaching and learning process.

In healthcare, digital technologies such as telemedicine have facilitated remote medical consultations, allowing people in remote areas to obtain healthcare services without having to travel far. Studies show that telemedicine can improve healthcare accessibility and the efficiency of patient referral systems (Nugroho et al., 2023). Mobile-based health applications help self-monitor health conditions, provide health education, and remind medication schedules, all of which contribute to improving the quality of life of the poor.

The implementation of technology in the education and health sectors faces various challenges. Limited digital infrastructure and low technological literacy among the poor are the main barriers. This threatens the principle of social justice in the distribution of essential services and has the potential to create new layers of inequality. Research shows that the digital divide can exacerbate inequalities in access to essential services (Nugroho et al., 2023). Collaborative efforts between the government, private sector and communities are needed to improve technology infrastructure and provide digital literacy training for vulnerable groups.

Policies that support technology adoption in the education and health sectors are needed. The government can play an important role in providing regulations that encourage the use of technology to improve public services. For example, the development of policies that support the implementation of telemedicine and e-learning can accelerate technology adoption among the poor. Incentives for service providers to develop affordable and accessible technology solutions can also help reduce the access gap. This integrated approach is important so that the use of technology in education and health is truly inclusive, not just expanding access for those who are already ready.

Community empowerment through improved digital literacy is also key to maximizing the benefits of technology. Training programs designed to improve digital skills can help individuals make effective use of technology in their daily lives. Studies show that improving digital literacy can contribute to poverty alleviation by opening up access to previously unreachable information and services. Investing in technology education and training for the poor is a strategic move to improve their well-being.

Thus, knowing the role of technology to improve access to education and health services for the poor is not just an academic understanding, but also a crucial step towards realizing a more just and socially equitable society. This knowledge will help to design more effective and sustainable strategies to optimize the benefits of technology in the lives of those most in need.

Overall, technology has great potential to reduce disparities in access to education and health services for the poor. Realizing this potential requires a comprehensive approach that includes improving infrastructure, developing supportive policies, and empowering communities through improving digital literacy. With a joint commitment from various stakeholders, technology can be an effective tool in poverty alleviation and improving the quality of life of the underprivileged.

Major Barriers in Utilizing Technology for Poverty Alleviation

The utilization of technology in poverty alleviation efforts faces various key barriers that need to be overcome to achieve optimal effectiveness. Only by systematically addressing these barriers can technology become an effective transformative tool in lifting people from poverty to sustainable prosperity.

One of the main barriers is limited access to technology among the poor. Infrastructure limitations, such as lack of internet and electricity, hinder the adoption of technologies that can support welfare improvement. The study by von Braun et al. (2017) emphasizes the importance of developing basic infrastructure to enable access to technology for smallholder farmers in marginalized areas. The utilization of technology in poverty alleviation efforts requires a systematic and sustainable approach in order to achieve optimal effectiveness.

Technology has great potential to bridge social and economic gaps by providing the poor with greater access to education, health services, and economic opportunities. Its effective utilization depends on how technology is integrated into people's lives in a functional and adaptive manner. Technology implementation focuses not only on providing access, but also on how the technology can be adapted to the needs of diverse communities (Hage et al., 2013). Inclusively designed technology will enable the poor to not only be passive users, but also derive tangible benefits to improve their quality of life. In realizing that technology can truly serve as an empowerment tool that provides long-term impact, real needs-based planning is needed. Real needs-based planning is required so that technology can truly serve as an empowerment tool that provides long-term impact.

The effectiveness of technology utilization in poverty alleviation depends on the extent to which people can adapt and integrate it into their daily lives. Technology that is accessible and easy to use will be more quickly accepted and provide wider benefits to the target group. The sustainability of the applied technology is also an important factor, as its long-term impact depends not only on its initial implementation, but also on its future maintenance and development (Maksimovic, 2017). With adequate support from various stakeholders, technology can be a transformative tool to reduce social inequality and promote equitable digital inclusion. This effort should be oriented towards creating a system that allows technology to evolve with society so that it can be accessed and utilized equitably by all, especially the poor who need its impact the most.

Economic limitations are a significant factor limiting the ability of the poor to adopt technology. The high cost of obtaining digital devices, internet services, and technology maintenance is often beyond the reach of low-income groups. This condition causes a gap in access to technology that should be utilized to improve social and economic welfare. A study by Howaldt et al. (2023) revealed that limited financial resources prevent poor individuals from utilizing social innovations that have the potential to provide positive changes in their lives. Lack of financial support also slows down the technology adoption process, reducing the likelihood of the poor to benefit from rapid digital development.

Lack of technological literacy is also a barrier to utilizing technology for poverty alleviation. Without adequate knowledge and skills, the poor find it difficult to utilize technology effectively. This is reinforced by the findings of Howaldt et al. (2023) who identified the knowledge gap as a major barrier to the implementation of social innovation in poor communities.

Cultural aspects also play a role in determining the level of acceptance and adoption of technology among the poor. In some communities, traditional values and entrenched mindsets can influence how individuals respond to new technologies. Mistrust of digital innovations often arises from a lack of understanding of the benefits of the technology or fears of negative impacts. The study conducted by von Braun et al. (2017) emphasizes that understanding the social and cultural dynamics of a community is critical to ensuring successful technology implementation, especially in areas with limited access to information. Without an approach that takes these social aspects into account, technology implementation risks being rejected or not fully utilized by the target group.

Unsupportive policies and regulations can be a barrier to the utilization of technology for poverty alleviation. Complex bureaucracy and lack of incentives for technological innovation can hinder the development and distribution of effective technological solutions. Howaldt et al. (2023) identified governance and political barriers as factors affecting the success of poverty-related social innovation.

Finally, limited collaboration between the public, private and civil society sectors can hinder the deployment of technologies aimed at poverty alleviation. Weak partnerships reduce the effectiveness of programs and initiatives designed to empower the poor through technology. Research by von Braun et al. (2017) emphasizes the importance of cross-sector cooperation to overcome barriers to technology adoption among smallholder farmers.

Overall, to overcome these barriers, an appropriate approach is needed that includes developing infrastructure, improving technological literacy, reforming policies, and strengthening collaboration between stakeholders. The government needs to design policies that encourage digital inclusion, provide incentives for the private sector to invest in technology for the poor, and create regulations that protect users from digital exploitation. Only then can technology play an effective role in poverty alleviation.

Policies Supporting Equitable Utilization of Technology for the Poor

Policies and regulations play an important role in ensuring equitable utilization of technology for the poor. Inclusive regulations can ensure that digital infrastructure development reaches remote and underdeveloped areas, rather than focusing on urban areas or economic centers. This regulation can ensure that all levels of society can participate in the digital ecosystem. With the right approach, governments can reduce the digital divide and improve access to essential services such as education and healthcare (Fang et al., 2019).

One of the crucial steps to support equitable utilization of technology is the development of digital infrastructure that can reach all levels of society, including the poor and remote areas. The government needs to allocate resources to expand high-speed internet access in areas that have experienced limited connectivity. A study conducted by Clark et al. (2021) shows that limited internet access contributes to increasing social and economic inequality, especially among underprivileged communities. Investing in strengthening digital infrastructure will not only improve access to technology-based services but also become a key foundation for creating a more inclusive and sustainable digital equality (Stephenson et al., 2021).

Policies that support digital literacy are needed in addition to infrastructure. Technology training and education programs should be organized to empower the poor to utilize technology effectively. Research shows that low digital literacy is a major barrier to technology adoption in underprivileged communities (Johnson et al., 2023). By improving digital skills, people can more easily access information and services that can improve their quality of life.

Policies that ensure the affordability of technology for the poor are a very important aspect of equalizing digital access. The application of subsidies or tax incentives for technology devices and internet services can help reduce the financial burden that has been a major obstacle for low-income groups. Research by Kusumastuti et al. (2023) shows that high costs and limited funding are the main factors hindering access to assistive technology, especially in developing countries. With the right policies, these economic barriers can be reduced, allowing more individuals to utilize technology to improve their quality of life more equitably and sustainably.

It is important to ensure that policies and regulations are designed with the local context and specific needs of poor communities in mind. Approaches that do not match local realities may result in ineffective technology implementation and even exacerbate inequalities (O'Connor et al., 2021). Community participation in policy formulation is crucial to ensure the relevance and sustainability of technology initiatives.

Collaboration between the public and private sectors can also be strengthened through policies that encourage strategic partnerships. These partnerships can accelerate technology dissemination and ensure that solutions are developed that meet the needs of the poor. Research shows that cross-sector partnerships can overcome barriers to technology adoption in underprivileged communities (O'Connor et al., 2021). With an inclusive policy framework and well-managed partnerships, cross-sector collaboration can accelerate a more equitable and just digital transformation, as well as a long-term strategy for technology-based poverty alleviation.

Finally, continuous evaluation and monitoring of technology policy implementation is essential. With proper evaluation mechanisms, the government can assess the effectiveness of policies and make necessary adjustments to achieve equitable utilization of technology. Studies emphasize the importance of monitoring and assessment to ensure that technology initiatives truly benefit the poor (Clark et al., 2021). Without adequate oversight, there is a higher risk of misuse of resources, program incompatibility with local needs, or neglect of inclusivity.

D. CONCLUSIONS

Technology has a strategic role to play in improving access to education and health services for the poor. Innovations in information and communication technology have opened up opportunities for equal access to educational resources and health facilities that were previously inaccessible to low-income groups. The utilization of online learning platforms, telemedicine, and digital health applications have helped reduce social disparities by providing more inclusive and affordable services. The successful implementation of technology in poverty alleviation is highly dependent on infrastructure readiness, digital literacy levels, and comprehensive policy support from the government and private sector.

To ensure that technology can be optimally utilized by the poor, strategic measures are needed that include increased investment in digital infrastructure, particularly in remote and disadvantaged areas. The government and stakeholders should develop policies that support the affordability of technology devices and internet services for low-income communities. Improving digital literacy through structured training programs can help individuals utilize technology effectively and independently. Collaboration between the public and private sectors should also be strengthened to create innovative and sustainable technology solutions. With an inclusive and needs-based approach, technology can be an effective tool to drive social and economic development, and improve welfare for the poor in an equitable and just manner.

REFERENCES

Arifin, S., & D. Darmawan. 2021. Technology Access and Digital Skills: Bridging the Gaps in Education and Employment Opportunities in the Age of Technology 4.0, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 163 – 168.

Bai, Y., X. Mo, & W. Gai. 2020. A Review of Digital Transformation in Education and Healthcare: The Impact of COVID-19. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17(1), 45-59.

Benda, N. C., T. C. Veinot, C. J. Sieck, J. S. Ancker, & L. P. Nichols. 2021. Broadband Access is a Social Determinant of Health: Considerations for Telehealth in a Post-Pandemic World. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 61(3), 408-412.

Benda, N. C., T. C. Veinot, C. J. Sieck, J. S. Ancker, & L. P. Nichols. 2021. Broadband Access is a Social Determinant of Health: Considerations for Telehealth in a Post-Pandemic World. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 61(3), 408-412.

Booth, A., A. Sutton, & D. Papaioannou. 2016. Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review (2nd Ed.). SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks.

Bryman, A. 2016. Social Research Methods (5th Ed.). Oxford University Press, New York.

Budd, J., B. S. Miller, E. M. Manning, V. Lampos, M. Zhuang, M. Edelstein, & G. Rees. 2020. Digital Technologies in the Public-Health Response to COVID-19. Nature Medicine, 26(8), 1183-1192.

Clark, C. R., Y. Akdas, C. H. Wilkins, K. Rhee, K. B. Johnson, D. W. Bates, & I. D. Mullan. 2021. Techquity is an Imperative for Health and Technology Business: Let's Work Together to Achieve it. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 28(9), 2013–2016.

Creswell, J. W. & J. D. Creswell. 2018. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches (5th Ed.). SAGE Publications, Los Angeles.

De', R., N. Pandey, & A. Pal. 2020. Impact of Digital Surge during COVID-19 Pandemic: A Viewpoint on Research and Practice. International Journal of Information Management, 55, 102171.

Donner, J., L. Srivastava, & S. Gollakota. 2021. Technology and Poverty Alleviation: Lessons from Global Initiatives. Development Policy Review, 39(2), 157-175.

Fang, M. L., S. L. Canham, L. Battersby, J. Sixsmith, M. Wada, & A. Sixsmith. 2019. Exploring Privilege in the Digital Divide: Implications for Theory, Policy, and Practice. The Gerontologist, 59(1), 1-15.

Fink, A. 2019. Conducting Research Literature Reviews: From the Internet to Paper (5th Ed.). SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks.

Gonzalez, R., J. Singh, & M. Castells. 2020. Data Privacy and Security in the Digital Age: Challenges for Developing Countries. Journal of Global Information Management, 28(3), 45-61.

Hage, E., J. P. Roo, M. A. van Offenbeek, & A. Boonstra. 2013. Implementation Factors and Their Effect on E-Health Service Adoption in Rural Communities: A Systematic Literature Review. BMC Health Services Research, 13, 1-16.

Hart, C. 2018. Doing a Literature Review: Releasing the Research Imagination (2nd Ed.). SAGE Publications, UK.

Heeks, R. 2020. Information and Communication Technology for Development (ICT4D). Annual Review of Development Studies, 14(1), 89-112.

Hilbert, M. 2018. Digital Technology and Economic Inequality: The Winners and Losers of the Digital Revolution. Telecommunications Policy, 42(8), 714-729.

Howaldt, J., C. Kaletka, & A. Schröder. 2023. The Role of Social Innovation in Tackling Global Poverty and Inequality. Journal of Social Entrepreneurship, 14(1), 1-19.

International Telecommunication Union (ITU). 2020. Measuring Digital Development: Facts and Figures 2020. ITU Publications, 1-19.

James, J. 2020. Digital Divide and Economic Development: A Theoretical Analysis. Information Economics and Policy, 52, 100895.

Johnson, K. B., S. A. Ibrahim, & S. T. Rosenbloom. 2023. Closing the "Techquity" Gap: Ensuring Equitable Access to Patient Portals. JAMA Health Forum, 4(11), 1-4.

Kurniawan, Y., & D. Darmawan. 2021. The Adaptive Learning Effect on Individual and Collecting Learning, Journal of Social Science Studies 1(1), 93 – 98.

Kurniawan, Y., J. A. Rojak, D. Darmawan, & A. S. M. Fajar. 2021. Exploration of Literary Works as Media to Form and Maintain National Identity through Narratives and Cultural Symbols, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 187 – 192.

Kusumastuti, P., S. Setiati, & S. Suhardjono. 2023. Assistive Technology Unmet Need in Indonesia: Challenges and Opportunities. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, 18(1), 8–16.

Maksimovic, M. 2017. Greening the Future: Green Internet of Things (G-IoT) as a Key Technological Enabler of Sustainable Development. In Internet of Things and Big Data Analytics Toward Next-Generation Intelligence. Springer International Publishing.

Mansell, R. 2017. Digital Transformation and Inequality: The Role of Policy. Information, Communication & Society, 20(7), 915-929.

Marcolino, M. S., J. A. Q. Oliveira, M. D'Agostino, A. L. P. Ribeiro, M. B. M. Alkmim, & D. Novillo-Ortiz. 2018. The Impact of Telemedicine on Primary Care: A Systematic Review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 20(10), e251.

Mulukuntla, S. & M. Gaddam. 2017. Overcoming Barriers to Equity in Healthcare Access: Innovative Solutions Through Technology. EPH-International Journal of Medical and Health Science, 3(1), 51-60.

Nugroho, R., M. Hidayat, E. D. D. Rianti, & N. L. Ayu. 2023. Analisis Akses Layanan Kesehatan terhadap Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Indonesia. Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat, 12(1), 45-56.

O'Connor, Y., W. Rowan, L. Lynch, & C. Heavin. 2021. Healthcare Inequity and Digital Health–A Bridge for the Divide, or a Widening Gap? Digital Health, 7, 20552076211033492.

OECD. 2019. Digital Transformation and Development: Opportunities and Challenges for Developing Countries. OECD Publishing.

Patel, V., S. Saxena, C. Lund, G. Thornicroft, F. Baingana, P. Bolton, ... & H. Herrman. 2019. The Lancet Commission on Global Mental Health and Sustainable Development. The Lancet, 392(10157), 1553-1598.

Pfeiffer, J., R. Chapman, & N. Rakotondraibe. 2019. Digital Health Innovations and Financial Sustainability in Low-Income Countries. Global Health Action, 12(1), 1567765.

Qureshi, S. 2020. Digital Technologies for Poverty Alleviation: How Digital Divide Research Can Inform Policies. Journal of Information Technology for Development, 26(2), 213-233.

Ridley, D. 2012. The Literature Review: A Step-By-Step Guide for Students (2nd Ed.). SAGE Publications, New York.

Silverman, D. 2020. Qualitative Research (5th Ed.). SAGE Publications, London.

Smith, H., K. Jones, & M. Brown. 2021. Cybersecurity Risks for Marginalized Communities in the Digital Economy. Computers & Security, 99, 102036.

Stephenson, M., M. F. S. Hamid, A. Peter, K. P. Sauvant, A. Seric, & L. Tajoli. 2021. More and Better Investment Now! How Unlocking Sustainable and Digital Investment Flows Can Help Achieve the SDGs. Journal of International Business Policy, 4(1), 152-165.

Tam, G., & D. El-Azar. 2018. 3 Ways the Digital Divide Affects Education. World Economic Forum.

Torous, J., K. J. Myrick, N. Rauseo-Ricupero, & J. Firth. 2019. Digital Mental Health and COVID-19: Using Technology Today to Accelerate the Curve on Access and Quality Tomorrow. JMIR Mental Health, 7(3), e18848.

UNESCO. 2020. Education in a Post-COVID World: Nine Ideas for Public Action. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

United Nations. 2019. The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2019. United Nations Publications.

Van Dijk, J. A., & K. Hacker. 2018. The Digital Divide as a Complex and Dynamic Phenomenon. The Information Society, 34(3), 177-193.

Von Braun, J., K. Afsana, L. O. Fresco, & M. Hassan. 2017. Food Systems: Seven Priorities to End Hunger and Protect the Planet. Nature, 546(7657), 718-720.

World Bank. 2019. World Development Report 2019: The Future of Work. World Bank Group.

World Bank. 2021. Poverty and Shared Prosperity 2020: Reversals of Fortune. World Bank Group.

Zhao, Y., A. Llorente, & J. Wang. 2019. Digital Literacy and its Impact on Economic Empowerment. New Media & Society, 21(4), 897-917.